

BARLOW LAW

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PATRICIA BARLOW (State Bar No. 135637)

1611 Jackson St.

San Francisco, CA 94109 (415) 977-1107 Telephone: Facsimile: (415) 977-1111

barlowairlaw@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Salvatore Francesco Bevivino



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SALVATORE FRANCESCO BEVIVINO

Plaintiff,

vs.

VIRGIN AMERICA INC.

Defendant

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Salvatore Francesco Bevivino (hereinafter "plaintiff") brings this action for contemporary and punitive damages based on his personal injuries and damage to reputation and apprehension against Virgin America Inc. This action arises out of an incident at San Francisco International Airport on April 28th, 2013 when plaintiff went to leave the aircraft after a Virgin America flight from Philadelphia to San Francisco and was detained and questioned by the Police and federal agencies at the request of the Captain on the subject flight.

2. Plaintiff seeks damages resulting from his personal injuries including damages for emotional and mental distress, disability, punitive damages and damages to reputation, embarrassment,

humiliation, fright, shock, loss of reputation, and denial of social pleasure and enjoyment, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Plaintiff is an individual, of Italian ancestry, who is a United States citizen with his principal and permanent residence located at Brisbane, California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and herein alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, defendant Virgin America Inc. provides air transportation services for passengers and cargo and operates flights daily between California and other parts of the United States, including Philadelphia. Defendant Virgin America Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with a place of business at 555 Airport Boulevard, Burlingame, California 94109, which is very near San Francisco International Airport ("SFO"), and it conducts regular, substantial and continuous business in California where it registered its business with the California Secretary of State, has been issued California Entity Number C2846449 and has nominated C T Corporation System at 818 W. Seventh Street, Los Angeles CA 90017 as its agent for service in California. Further, Virgin America has a place of business in Los Angeles with its cargo facility located at 5758 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90045 and has an airline ticket office at the Los Angeles International Airport. At all material times defendant was acting as a common carrier providing air services and was subject to a heightened duty of care to plaintiff as a passenger on the defendant's flight.
- 28 5. This Court is vested with federal question subject matter COMPLAINT

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 as the transportation out of which the subject matter of this action arose gives rise to various causes of action pursuant to 49 USC Section 40127 (prohibiting discrimination in air transportation); 42 USC Section 2000a (prohibiting discrimination or segregation in Places of Public Accommodation); 42 USC Section 1981 (prohibiting denial of equal rights under the law), and 42 USC Section 1983 (prohibiting violations of civil rights under color of state law.

6. Venue lies within this judicial district pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b(2).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff is a 52 year old male of Italian ancestry and has an Italian first, middle and last name. Plaintiff is of dark complexion and could be mistaken for being from the Middle East because of his appearance. Attached as **Exhibit A** to this complaint is a photograph of the plaintiff.
- 8. For the past 6 years plaintiff has been employed at Genentech with the job title "Business Manager Global Pharma" which is a responsible and serious position. In this position plaintiff is subject to ongoing security checks by his employer and having been taken into police custody as set forth in this complaint, plaintiff's employment may be threatened as a consequence of the police and federal agency actions described in this complaint. There are also positions with plaintiff's employer requiring United States Government Security Clearances and plaintiff would not become excluded from applying for these positions.
- 9. On April 28th, 2012 plaintiff had purchased air transportation
 on Virgin America Flight No. VX 0141 to fly from Philadelphia to San
 complaint

Francisco. Plaintiff boarded the aircraft for this flight sometime around 5.00pm and was assigned seat 24F where he sat during the flight. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a copy of plaintiff's boarding pass receipt.

- 10. After takeoff and upon reaching the aircraft's cruise altitude, the plaintiff pressed the flight attendant call button to purchase a soft drink. A male flight attendant came to the plaintiff and pointed to the screen on the back of the seat in front of seat 24F and told the plaintiff to use the screen. Plaintiff stated that he did not desire to make his purchase that way and asked the attendant to bring him a drink. The male flight attendant then left the plaintiff's seat.
- 11. A second flight attendant then came to assist plaintiff and again plaintiff was told to use the screen to order his soft drink. Plaintiff responded saying to this flight attendant that he assumed he could have a drink brought to him like the other airlines and stated to the attendant that he would consider contacting Virgin America about the situation. Then a third flight attendant brought the plaintiff his soft drink.
- 12. The events set forth in paragraphs 10 and 11 above occurred over a 2 minute period. Plaintiff had no further contact with the flight crew during the flight crew other than to order a meal which he did by using the touch screen process required. For the balance of the flight the plaintiff purchased internet access and worked on his laptop.
- 13. When the aircraft landed at San Francisco International Airport, the plaintiff walked up to the aircraft door to disembark. At that point the plaintiff was pulled aside by the pilot as a

person of suspicion and the pilot asked the plaintiff to go with the six uniformed officers that were waiting for the plaintiff at the aircraft door. It transpired that the officers were a mixture of the San Francisco Airport Police, The FBI and other Federal Agencies.

- 14. The plaintiff went with the officers whereupon he was segregated and taken into custody against his will, and escorted to a room in front of the other passengers and the crew where he was asked to make a statement. After being segregated, plaintiff was detained against his will by the officers and was not free to leave and this directly and intentionally and maliciously interfered with the plaintiff's right to move freely and plaintiff felt an apprehension that force would have been inflicted upon him if he attempted to leave.
- 15. Plaintiff told the officers that he did not know why he had been detained and had his right to move freely interfered with and precluded, and recounted the events of the flight including the events relating to obtaining of his soft drink as set forth above. The officers then continued to detain plaintiff while they obtained a statement from the flight crew and the plaintiff's detention and restriction on his right to freely move was further imposed. Plaintiff continued to feel an apprehension that force would have been inflicted upon him if he attempted to leave.
- 16. While the officers were taking statements from the crew, the pilot came over to the plaintiff, while he continued to be detained and was not free to leave, and asked him why he had been yelling obscenities at his crew. The plaintiff told the pilot that he did not use any profanities during his brief 2 minute exchange with the crew regarding the soft drink order.

- 17. The plaintiff was then told by the officers that the crew had stated that the plaintiff had used the cabin toilet during the flight and that he had failed to flush the toilet after use. The officer then told the plaintiff that the matter seemed to be a customer service issue and then the officers gave the plaintiff a release form and told him that he was free to leave. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a copy of the Release/Distension Certificate that plaintiff was provided by the officers when he was told that he was free to leave on April 28th, 2012.
- 18. Subsequently the plaintiff obtained a copy of the Police Report which states that the Pilot stated that at no time did his crew feel threatened regarding this passenger. Attached as **Exhibit D** is a copy of the Incident Report of the San Francisco Police-Airport Bureau.
- 19. At all times herein, defendant through the pilot, acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner with respect to this customer service matter and having instigated the taking into custody of the plaintiff was not inimical to the safety or security of the passengers or the aircraft on Virgin America Flight No. VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012, which the defendant's pilot admitted to the police on April 28th, 2012.
- 20. After plaintiff was released from the police custody he contacted defendant Virgin America by telephone and learned that it is the custom and policy of this airline to provide one-on-one soft drink service to passengers when requested.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation

contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth.

- 22. Defendant owed a heightened duty of care to plaintiff to exercise care, diligence and vigilance in the exercise of their contractual duties pursuant to the contract of carriage under which plaintiff was travelling on Virgin America Flight on April 28th 2012.
- 23. Defendant breached that duty when it negligently and without exercise of reasonable care and diligence had plaintiff taken into custody for no rational reason under the cover of a customer service response which was not related to the security or safety of the passengers or aircraft on Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012.
- 24. Defendant further breached its duties by failing to properly and adequately train its cabin staff to provide soft drinks to passengers when asked to do so by passengers, which defendant holds itself out as doing in its provided advertising and customer service information desk information by calling its 1 800 number.
- 25. Defendant further breached its duty by failing to properly train its pilots and cabin crew to investigate security and safety threats in the cabin on its flights so that they do not escalate a customer service inquiry with a security threat resulting in passengers being wrongfully detained against their will.
- 26. Defendant further breached its duty by failing to properly hire and train employees and agents to follow the law regarding equal treatment of passengers, and to protect passengers from being unlawfully discriminated against based on perceived national origin, race and ancestry.
- 28 27. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence and COMPLAINT

care should have known, that its negligent conduct and actions described herein would cause injuries and damages to plaintiff.

28. As a direct and proximate result of this negligence, plaintiff has been injured and incurred damages in a sum to be proved at trial, but including damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 28 as though fully set forth.
- 30. Defendant owed a heightened duty of care to plaintiff to exercise care, diligence and vigilance in the exercise of their contractual duties pursuant to the contract of carriage under which plaintiff was travelling on Virgin America Flight on April 28th 2012.
- 31. Defendant breached that duty when it intentionally and negligently and without exercise of reasonable care and diligence had plaintiff taken into custody for no rational reason under the cover of a customer service response which was not related to the security or safety of the passengers or aircraft on Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012.
- 27 32. Defendant further breached its duties by intentionally failing
 28 to properly and adequately train its cabin staff to provide soft

1 drinks to passengers when asked to do so by passengers, which 2 defendant holds itself out as doing in its provided advertising and customer service information desk information by calling its 1 800 3 number. 4 5 33. Defendant further breached its duty by intentionally failing to properly train its pilots and cabin crew to investigate security and 6 7 safety threats in the cabin on its flights so that they do not 8 escalate a customer service inquiry with a security threat resulting 9 in passengers being wrongfully detained against their will. 34. Defendant further breached its duty by intentionally failing to 10 properly hire and train employees and agents to follow the law 11 regarding equal treatment of passengers, and to protect passengers 12 13 from being unlawfully discriminated against based on perceived 14 national origin, race and ancestry. 35. Defendant knew that its intentional and negligent conduct and 15 actions described herein including its extreme and outrageous 16 conduct of discriminating against plaintiff and violating the law by 17 not treating plaintiff in a manner equal to the way other passengers 18 on the flight were treated would cause injuries and damages to 19 plaintiff in the form of severe emotional distress. Knowing this 20 defendant continued to act in a reckless and intentional manner and 21 arranged to have plaintiff taken into custody for no rational reason 22 when the flight arrived in San Francisco on April 28th 2012. 23 As a direct and proximate result of this intentional reckless 24 and wanton conduct, plaintiff has been injured and incurred damages 25 in a sum to be proved at trial, but including damages in the form of 26 apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright,

9 COMPLAINT

shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social

27

pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TRESPASS TO PERSON/FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 36 as though fully set forth.
- 38. Without lawful justification or privilege, defendant segregated and physically separated plaintiff from the other passengers as plaintiff was about to engage in the disembarkation process from Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012.
- 39. Defendant's actions resulted in plaintiff being segregated by the officers and taken into custody against his will, and escorted to a limited space room in a confined area in front of the other passengers and the crew where he was asked to make a statement. After being segregated, plaintiff was detained against his will by the officers and was not free to leave and this directly and intentionally and maliciously interfered with the plaintiff's right to move freely and plaintiff felt an apprehension that force would have been inflicted upon him if he attempted to leave.
- 40. Plaintiff was detained in this confined space and interrogated by the police and federal agents and was not free to leave during this interrogation. At all times herein plaintiff felt an inability to controvert or disobey the orders of the police and the federal agents who were also acting as the agents of the defendant Virgin America Inc. with defendant also acting under the guise of state and federal authority.

1	41
2	ре
3	da
4	mo
5	de
6	da
7	da
8	42
9	or
10	ac
11	de
12	di
13	Se
14	
15	
16	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

41. Defendant Virgin Atlantic Inc.'s actions sound in trespass to person and are actionable per se and proximately caused plaintiff's damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

42. At all material times plaintiff felt an inability to controvert or disobey the orders of defendant's agents due to defendant's actions in concert with the San Francisco Airport Police and due to defendant acting under the guise of the state authority. Defendant's discriminatory actions deprived plaintiff of his right under 42 USC Section 2000a, et al and 49 USC Section 40127, et al.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

49 USC Section 40127-

PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATION IN AIR TRANSPORTATION

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 42 as though fully set forth.
- 44. At all material times, defendant was an air carriers engaged in air transportation services and at all material times plaintiff was a passenger on defendant's airline.
- 45. Without lawful justification or privilege, and acting in an arbitrary and capricious manner, defendant's pilot, acting through federal and state agents, segregated and physically separated plaintiff from the other passengers as plaintiff was about to engage in the disembarkation process from Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012. Plaintiff was pulled aside and subsequently detained and interrogated by police

and federal agents against his will at defendant's direction as a person of suspicion based on his ancestry, and a mistaken national origin basis given that plaintiff has an appearance as being a person of Middle Eastern ethnicity and origin. Such action in itself was discriminatory and was arbitrary and capricious and not based on any rational or reasonable basis, was without justification, and violated plaintiff's civil rights.

46. Defendant Virgin Atlantic Inc.'s actions proximately caused plaintiff's damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

42 USC Section 2000a

PROHIBITION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION OR DEGREGATIONIN PLACES OF PUBLIC ACCOMODATION

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully set forth.
- 48. At all material times, defendant was an air carriers engaged in air transportation services and at all material times plaintiff was a passenger on defendant's airline.
- 49. At all material times herein plaintiff was entitled to full and equal enjoyment of places of public accommodation, without discrimination or segregation on the grounds of race, color or national origin. At all material times herein both the Virgin America aircraft on which plaintiff travelled and San Francisco

1 Airport are places and locations of public accommodation under the 2 law, as their operations serve the public and affect commerce. 3 50. Without lawful justification or privilege, and acting in an 4 arbitrary and capricious manner, defendant's pilot, acting through 5 federal and state agents, segregated and physically separated plaintiff from the other passengers as plaintiff was about to engage 6 7 in the disembarkation process from Virgin American Flight VX 0141 8 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012. Plaintiff was pulled aside and subsequently detained and interrogated by police 9 and federal agents against his will at defendant's direction as a 10 person of suspicion based on a mistaken national origin basis given 11 that plaintiff has an appearance as being a person of Middle Eastern 12 ethnicity and origin. Such action in itself was discriminatory and 13 was arbitrary and capricious and not based on any rational or 14 reasonable basis, was without justification, and violated 15 plaintiff's civil rights. 16 Defendant Virgin Atlantic Inc.'s actions proximately caused 17 plaintiff's damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, 18 humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and 19 emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and 20 loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation 21 resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other 22

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

23

24

25

26

27

28

damages.

42 USC Section 1981

PROHIBITION OF DENIAL OF EQUAL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 51 as though fully set forth.

24

25

26

27

28

53. At all material times, defendant was an air carrier engaged in commercial air transportation services and at all material times plaintiff was a passenger on defendant's airline. During the passage of commercial air transportation plaintiff was entitled to travel and be free from discrimination in his travel right and to have nondiscriminatory passage and not be treated differently from other passengers when he travelled on commercial air carriage by Virgin America on April 28th 2012.

54. Without lawful justification or privilege, and acting in an arbitrary and capricious manner, defendant's pilot, acting through federal and state agents, segregated and physically separated plaintiff from the other passengers as plaintiff was about to engage in the disembarkation process from Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012. Plaintiff was pulled aside and subsequently detained and interrogated by police and federal agents against his will at defendant's direction as a person of suspicion based on his ancestry, and a mistaken national origin basis given that plaintiff has an appearance as being a person of Middle Eastern ethnicity and origin and plaintiff was in this way not treated in the same way as the other passengers on the flight and was discriminated against. Such action in itself was discriminatory and was arbitrary and capricious and not based on any rational or reasonable basis, was without justification, and violated plaintiff's civil rights and resulted in plaintiff being singled out and subjected to a separate standard of suspicion than other passengers resulting in different and discriminatory unlawful treatment of plaintiff by defendant.

55. Defendant Virgin Atlantic Inc.'s actions proximately caused

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

plaintiff's damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

SEVENTHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

42 USC Section 1983

PROHIBITION OF DENIAL OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW

Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 55 as though fully set forth. At all material times, defendant was an air carrier engaged in commercial air transportation services and at all material times plaintiff was a passenger on defendant's airline. At all material times herein defendants acted under the color of California state law and in concert with the San Francisco Airport police in discriminating against, detaining and confining plaintiff against his will at the San Francisco Airport on April 28th 2012. 58. Without lawful justification or privilege, and acting in an arbitrary and capricious manner, defendant's pilot, acting through state agents, segregated and physically separated plaintiff from the other passengers as plaintiff was about to engage in the disembarkation process from Virgin American Flight VX 0141 from Philadelphia to San Francisco on April 28th 2012. Plaintiff was pulled aside and subsequently detained and interrogated by police against his will at defendant's direction as a person of suspicion based on his ancestry, and a mistaken national origin basis given that plaintiff has an appearance as being a person of Middle Eastern

ethnicity and origin. Such action in itself was arbitrary and capricious and not based on any rational or reasonable basis, was without justification, and violated plaintiff's civil rights.

- 59. At all material times plaintiff felt an inability to controvert or disobey the orders of defendant's agents due to defendant's actions in concert with the San Francisco Airport Police and due to defendant acting under the guise of the state authority. Defendant's discriminatory actions deprived plaintiff of his right under 42 USC Section 2000a, et al and 49 USC Section 40127, et al.
- 60. Defendant Virgin Atlantic Inc.'s actions proximately caused plaintiff's damages in the form of apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, mortification, fright, shock, mental anguish and emotional distress, denial of social pleasures and enjoyment, and loss of reputation, damage to plaintiff's professional reputation resulting in negative damage to plaintiff's career and other damages.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

DAFAMATION

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 60 as though fully set forth.
- 62. At all material times herein the subject aircraft on which plaintiff travelled, the gate are and retaining offices at the San Francisco Airport are public places where the travelling public have visual access and can hear spoken words by the pilot, crew and police in the gate area and on the aircraft.
- 63. Defendant's cabin crew and pilot defamed plaintiff both by public statement at the boarding area and in the area where the police retained plaintiff in custody making false statements about

what plaintiff was alleged to have said and done. These statements were published both verbally and by innuendo in front of the other passengers disembarking from the flight and the general public around the gate area and surrounds.

- 64. These statements were to the effect that plaintiff had failed to flush the toilet during the flight and that he had used profanity to the flight crew both of which were false. Such defamatory language was also incorporated in the subject and resulting police report.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of making these false statements and defaming plaintiff, he may be precluded from future employment promotions should his employer invoke a policy requiring government security checks on persons such as plaintiff. Furthermore plaintiff has suffered extreme humiliation, embarrassment, mental and emotional anguish as a result of defendant's actions.

 Accordingly plaintiff has been injured and incurred damages in a sum to be proved at trial, but including damages in the form of both compensatory and punitive damages.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 65 as though fully set forth.
- 67. At all material times herein defendant's acts were, deliberate, intentional, wanton, malicious and oppressive, and done with wanton disregard of the consequences to plaintiff, and defendant's acts should be punished by an award of punitive damages. Defendant knew that its acts of having plaintiff put under the police and federal agency custody without freedom to leave would result in harm to

plaintiff. WHEREFORE, as a legal and proximate cause of the acts or omissions of the defendant as set forth in this complaint, plaintiff demands judgment as follows: 1. For general damages according to proof but no less than \$500,000.00; 2. For special damages according to proof; 3. For punitive damages; 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; 5. Attorney Fees, and 6. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper. A jury trial is hereby demanded on all issues DATED: April 25th 2013 Attorney for Plaintiff





RELEASE/DETENTION CERTIFICATE

As required by the provisions of Penal Code Section 851.6, I hereby
certify that the taking into custody of SALVATORE F BEVIVENO
on 1428/2 by the STPD-ATRACT BURGAY
(Date) (Name of Agency Taking Custody) was a detention only, not an arrest.
SHUADE F BEVILIDO Was released on O42845
by the SPO-KNAT BURA. Pertinent portions of Penal
(Name of Releasing Agency) : Code Sections 849, 849.5 and 851.6 are included below.
Signed:
Title and ID No. : 11FEOR 1880

PENAL CODE SECTION 849 PROVIDES, IN PART:

- (a) When an arrest is made without a warrant by a peace officer or private person, the person arrested, if not otherwise released, shall, without unnecessary delay, be taken before the nearest or most accessible magistrate in the county in which the offense is triable, and a complaint stating the charge against the arrested person be laid before such magistrate.
- (b) Any peace officer may release from custody, instead of taking such person before a magistrate, any person arrested without a warrant whenever:
- (1) He is satisfied that there are insufficient grounds for making a criminal complaint against the person arrested.
- (2) The person was arrested for intoxication only, and no further proceedings are desirable.
- (3) The person was arrested only for being under the influence of a narcotic, drug, or restricted dangerous drug and such person is delivered to a facility or hospital for treatment and no further proceedings are desireable.
- (c) Any record of arrest of a person released pursuant to paragraphs
 (l) and (3) of subdivision (b) shall include a record of release.
 Thereafter, such arrest shall not be deemed an arrest, but a detention.

PENAL CODE SECTION 849.5 PROVIDES:

In any case in which a person is arrested and released and no accusatory pleading is filed charging him with an offense, any record of arrest of the person shall include a record of release. Thereafter, the arrest shall not be deemed an arrest, but a detention only.

PENAL CODE SECTION 851.6 PROVIDES, IN PART:

- (a) In any case in which a person is arrested and released pursuant to paragraph (1) or (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 849, the person shall be issued a certificate, signed by the releasing officer or his superior officer, describing the action as a detention.
- (b) In any case in which a person is arrested and released and no accusatory pleading is filed charging him with an offense, the person shall be issued a certificate by the law enforcement agency which arrested him describing the action as a detention.

EVIDET B

I K	Agenc	y Name	o Police - Air	nort Rus	eau	IN	CIDENT R	EPORT	ſ	Case#	12-11959	
CID	ORI	CA041250			- Cuu						Time Reported	20.4
E		ype of Inciden					Statu	tec COUR	☐ Att	Occum	or 28, 2012 red From or 28, 2012	20:40
T	#2	Type of Inciden	t				Statu	te:	□ Au	Оссил	ed To	-
AT	#3 .T	ype of Inciden	1				Statu		☐ Con		or 28, 2012	20:40
A	Locatio	n of Incident	VIRGIN FLIG	HT VRDI	II. IND	DIANA AIRSPA	ICE		Premise Type		us/Train Term	inal
10		tracked or Cor	nmitted									
-	Weapo	ms 0	Туре		-	Injury			Fo	rcible Entr	y O Yes O N	o EI NA
v	1		s Name (Last, Fir	st, Middle)				Victim of	Crime #		Age/DOB	Race Se
1	VI							Relations	hip to Offende	ers.		
CTI	Home	Address		-						Hor	ne Phone	
М	Emplo	yer Name/Add	iress					Business Pho	ne	Cel	(510) 459-	2762
	VYR	Make	Model	Style	1	Calor	LioLis	1	VIN		(310) 433	4704
THERS	4	Address Name (Lass. Address	First, Middle)		CACONI (A. A.					Victim of Crime #	Home Phone	Race Se
- 2 > 0	Code RP2	Name (Last	ica First, Middle)						٧.	Victim of Crime #	Age / DOB	Race Se
CSAC	Empl	Oyer Name/Ac	merica								Business Pho (650) 762	
	Status Codes		S = Stolen R = 1			T		d C = Counter		e/Model		Munkan
	UCR	Status	Value	ftem#	QTY	Рторі	erty Description		Mak	S.Model	Serial	Number
PROPER												
Y												
		er of Vehicles	Stolen 0				Number	Vehicles Recove		Signature	•	
ID E	Releas	ed to Signature (14) ed to Signature (14) 4/30/201		AR .		Released By		Date	DATE	RELEA	DUPLICAT SED FOR OV WHEN SER NEEDE NKS, SHERI	llou F

INCIDENT REPORT

San Francisco Police - Airport Bureau

Case# 12-11959

Status	Qua	ntity	Type Mea	isure			Suspe	ected Drug	Туре		
	-										
	-									-	
	-									-	
	-									-	
	+										
	+										
		Offender 1	DI		Offender 2		-	Offende	r3	٠.,	
		Age: 51	Race: W S	Sex: M A	ge:	Race:	Sex:	Age:	Race:	Sex	
		Offender 4		1	Offender 5			Offende	r 6		7
		Age:	Race :	Sex: A	ge:	Race:	Sex:	Age:	Race:	Sex:	
ame (Last, First, Mi	die)	an Calvatan					182	Home A	o St., Brisbe	ma C	0,1005
cupation	Devivii	io, Salvatori	8	I Ducina	an Address					ine, Cr	1 94003
cupation				Busine	ss Address Genelech	I DNA	YAY SSF	CA. 940	90		
OOB. / Age	Race	Sex Hg		Wgt	Build			Heir C	olor	1	Eye Calor
11/1961	1 W	M			Hair St	te		Hair L	ength	1	Glasses
cars, Marks, Tatoos,	or other disti	inguishing feature	s (i.e. limp,	foreign ac	cent, voice	haracteris	tics)				
1		Shirt/Blouse			Cost					Socks	
cket	2 (1)	Tie/Scarf			Pants	/Dress/Ski	rt Direction (of Tenual		Shoe	ode of Travel
as Suspect Armed?	Type of W	veapon					Direction (DI ITAVCI		199	ooc or traver
YR Make		Model	Style/Do	ors Colo	r . [14	c/Lis		1	Vin		
THE MAKE		.viouei	3131000	Colo					****		
uspect Hate / Bias N	otivated:	☐ Yes ☑ No		Туре:							
•				1	D.O.I	3.	Age	Race	Sex		
eme (Last, First, Mic	are)				0.0.0			1			
					Home !	Thomas	Em	player			Phone
ome Address					Tome !	none	Lin	pioyei			· mone
	Tence										
spicious Occu			10.0					Cabelan	lab abo		
		1B) to termi	nai 2, Ga	CTOP	regardii	ng a pas	senger	les on s	cana larr	wed	
Dispatch sent	me (6C5		it and (in of Vi	min Am	erice fli	welle a	DIAI P	hiladelnhi	to	
Dispatch sent	2) Ofc.M	luselman #17	of Canta				Dactore	er who	was using		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (R)	2) Ofc.M	ho is the fligh	ight cres	w inform	ned him	about a					
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI	2) Ofc.M	ho is the flight me that his fl	ight crev	v inform	ned him	about a	eves that	t they	vere an		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI n Francisco. • ofanities and n	2) Ofc.M told w told istenin	ho is the flight me that his flight g to instruction ove Indiana a	ight crev ons durin	w informing the fl when this	ned him light.	t occur	eves tha	t they v	vere an		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI n Francisco. • ofanities and n	2) Ofc.M told w told istenin	ho is the flight me that his flight g to instruction ove Indiana a	ight crev ons durin	w informing the fl when this	ned him light.	t occur	eves tha	t they v	vere an		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI n Francisco. • ofanities and n ur into the flig at at no time di	(2) Ofc.M (w) work told (c) t listening (c) t and about the or his	ho is the flighme that his flight to instructione Indiana as flight crew	ight crev ons during irspace v feel thre	w informing the fi when this atened in	ned him light	this pa	eves that red. Seenger	also	vere an told me		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (R) in Francisco. To offanities and nur into the flig at at no time di	(2) Ofc.M we told to told listening that and about he or his	ho is the flightene that his flight crew	ight crevons during lespace version feel three is a flight	w informing the fl when this atened a	ned him light. It is incider regarding	this pa	eves that red. Seenger the VRD	also	told me	ne	
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI n Francisco. Cofanities and nur into the flig at at no time di	(2) Ofe.M we told to told interior and about the or his with (R2)	ho is the flighted that his flight to instruction and instruct	ight crevons during irspace value three is a flight can be come as a flight ca	w informing the fl when this atened in the attention of the second attention o	ned him ight. ight. is incider egarding dant about sicens	this part fligse as (D	eves that red. See ssenger ht VRD) Beviv	also also ol41 and ino, ask	told me		
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (R) in Francisco. To offanities and nur into the flight at at no time did in ext spoke that the passenge and the passen	(2) Ofe.M we told to told told to told told told told told told told told told told with (R2) told told	ho is the flighted that his flight to instruction or Indiana as flight crew who entified through him that the	ight crevons during irspace wifeel three is a fliggh his Comenu w	w informing the fl when this atened in the attenual drive as on the	ned him ight. If ight. If ight. If ight is incider regarding dant about it is incident about the computer of the computer is incident about the computer is incident about the computer is incident.	t occur this pa ard flig se as (D ter scre	eves that red. Seenger that VRD Beviven. Beviven.	also 0141 and ino, ask	told me d she told red for a	ok at	
Dispatch sent ght crew. (6C: d met with (RI n Francisco. Cofanities and nur into the flig at at no time di	(2) Ofc.M w told of listenin ht and about he or his with (R2)s r, later ide	ho is the fligime that his flig to instructione Indiana as flight crew who entified through him that the	ight crevons during lisspace was feel three is a fliggh his Comenu was your ways your	w informing the fl when this atened in the atten- a. drive as on the are here	ned him in it is incider regarding dant about a computer to serve	t occur t occur this pa and flig se as (D ter scre	eves that red. Seenger ht VRD Beviven. Bev	also 0141 and ino, ask vivino re	told me d she told red for a efused to lo	ok at	

GREG MUNKS, SHERIFF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Incident / Investigation Report

San Francisco Police - Airport Bureau

Case# 12-11959

Officer's Narrative (continued)

began using profanities. passed by the restroom and saw that Bevivino left the door open and did not flush the toilet. thinks Bevivino was not talking to anyone in general but just talking out loud.

(6B113) Sgt. Lock #1369 and (6B109) Sgt. Halley #1163 arrived on scene. Bevivino exited the plane and he was detained in front of Gate 54A. Sgt. Lock advised me to contact the FBI. TSA Shih was also on scene along with ADM Walsh. I spoke with Special Agent Paul Howard of the FBI and advised him of this incident. A records check through dispatch revealed no wants or warrants for Bevivino.

Bevivino told me that he was having problems ordering a drink with the on board computer and asked the flight attendant for help. Bevivino stated that the flight attendant ignored him and he became frustrated. Ofc. Dear issued an 849(b) PC Release/Detention Certificate to Bevivino (see attached) and he was released from the scene.

Attachments: Release/Detention certificate



PROOF OF SERVICE

United States District Court For The Northern District Of California

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California, I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1611 Jackson St, San Francisco, California 94109.

On April 25TH, 2013, I served the document(s) described as:

- SUMMONS
- COMPLAINT
- CIVIL COVER SHEET

on the parties in this action addressed as follows: SEE SERVICE LIST BELOW

	. 1	0 1	1 .	
1n	the	tol	lowing	manner:
111	uic	TOI	TOMMITTE	manne

(BY FAX): by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date before 5:00 p.m.
(BY EMAIL): by emailing the document as a PDF document(s) listed above
(BY MAIL): as follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco. California in the ordinary course of business.

✓ (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY): I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered to an overnight delivery carrier with delivery fees provided for, addressed to the person(s) on whom it is to be served.

postage meter date is more than on day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or

- ☐ (BY PERSONAL SERVICE): I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand this date to the offices of the addressee(s).
- (BY CM/ECF): by electronic filing system with the clerk of the Court which will send a Notice of Electronic Filing to all parties with an e-mail address of record, who have filed a Notice of Consent to Electronic Service in this action.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 25TH, 2013 at San Francisco, California.

Georgina Otto

SERVICE LIST C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 818 W SEVENTH STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90017